

NO

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

Mathematics

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Average	N/A
2002	Good	Average	N/A
2003 2004	Good	Average	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

English/Language Arts

53.4 77.8 53.4 77.8 59.4 77.2

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

English/Language Arts

Advanced

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

Mathematics

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	44	119	74
Percent satisfied with learning environment	97.7%	83.9%	88.4%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	89.0%	67.1%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	90.9%	89.9%	85.9%

PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR	OUP			Ţ		Ţ	
	Englis	ent lesting	/, -	Jon Basic	/ -	Proficient of	Advanced on Profi	dientand Advance
	/ut	ent restir	Cested /	OM BOS	aasic /	oroficie	dvanu	cientance
	Enon	840, 0/g	lested old Br	in of	Basic oh	, oh	br 0/0 640.	cient and
			Ēī	glish/Lar	iguage A			
All students	626	99.7	20.7	59.4	17.2	2.7	19.9	17.6
Gender Male	224	99.4	26.1	60.4	12.2	1.3	13.5	17.6
Male Female	321		26.1					
Racial/Ethnic Group	305	100.0	15.1	58.2	22.5	4.2	26.7	17.6
White	440	99.5	17.2	58.8	20.8	3.1	24.0	17.6
African-American	181	100.0	29.7	60.5	8.1	1.7	9.9	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	1N/A 3	100.0	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status		100.0	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	17.0
Not disabled	471	99.8	11.0	62.8	22.5	3.6	26.1	17.6
Disabled	155	99.6	50.7	48.6	0.7	N/A	0.7	17.6
Migrant Status	100	55.4	50.7	40.0	0.7	IN/A	0.7	17.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	626	99.7	20.7	59.4	17.2	2.7	19.9	17.6
English Proficiency	020	55.I	20.1	J8.4	11.2	2.1	13.3	17.0
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	626	99.7	20.7	59.4	17.2	2.7	19.9	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	020	55.1	20.1	55.7	11.2	2.1	10.0	17.0
Subsidized meals	354	100.0	26.5	61.1	10.8	1.5	12.3	17.6
Full-pay meals	270	99.3	13.3	57.0	25.4	4.3	29.7	17.6
				Mathe	matics			
All students	626	100.0	17.8	53.4	20.7	8.1	28.8	15.5
Gender								
Male	321	100.0	17.4	54.1	20.7	7.9	28.5	15.5
Female	305	100.0	18.2	52.6	20.7	8.4	29.1	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group		100.0	40-	E 1 0	010	46.1	0.1-	
White	440	100.0	13.7	51.6	24.6	10.1	34.7	15.5
African-American	181	100.0	27.9	57.0	11.6	3.5	15.1	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status		100.0	14.7	F0.4	25.4	10.0	20.0	45.5
Not disabled	471	100.0	11.7	52.4	25.4	10.6	36.0	15.5
Disabled	155	100.0	36.6	56.6	6.2	0.7	6.9	15.5
Migrant Status	NI/A	0.0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	15.5
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	626	100.0	17.8	53.4	20.7	8.1	28.8	15.5
English Proficiency	A1/A	0.0	N1/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	15.5
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	626	100.0	17.8	53.4	20.7	8.1	28.8	15.5
Socio-Economic Status	05.4	100.0	20.0	F0.0	10.0	2.0	10.0	45.5
Subsidized meals	354	100.0	20.8	59.6	16.0	3.6	19.6	15.5
Full-pay meals	270	100.0	14.0	45.3	26.7	14.0	40.7	15.5

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

FAL		JRMANL		_				
		Enrolle	ert 1st ind and Testind	/	alow Basic	/_ /	Proficient old	Advanced Advanced
		JIM	'su'teer	lested olo Be	ONP	Basic ol	Profit.	rghai. 'e
		Enro	9/0	0/08	0/0	0/0	0/0	Advanced Advanced
				English	n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	150	N/A	26.4	53.4	19.6	0.7	20.3
20	Grade 6	155	N/A	18.1	36.8	33.5	11.6	45.2
	Grade 7	148	N/A	20.8	60.4	18.1	0.7	18.8
•	Grade 8	123	N/A	23.8	50.8	20.5	4.9	25.4
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 5	148	99.3	30.2	51.8	18.0	N/A	18.0
2003	Grade 6	179	99.4	21.0	52.7	18.6	7.8	26.3
	Grade 7	165	100.0	18.9	63.5	16.4	1.3	17.6
	Grade 8	134	100.0	12.2	71.5	15.4	0.8	16.3

				IVI	athematio	S					
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
8	Grade 5	150	N/A	30.9	46.3	14.8	8.1	22.8			
2002	Grade 6	155	N/A	18.1	41.3	31.0	9.7	40.6			
	Grade 7	148	N/A	20.7	46.2	22.1	11.0	33.1			
•	Grade 8	123	N/A	28.5	48.8	13.8	8.9	22.8			
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2003	Grade 5	148	100.0	28.6	55.7	14.3	1.4	15.7			
20	Grade 6	179	100.0	13.7	54.8	20.2	11.3	31.5			
	Grade 7	165	100.0	16.4	40.9	27.7	15.1	42.8			
	Grade 8	134	100.0	13.0	65.0	19.5	2.4	22.0			

SCHOOL PROFILE

C	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School	
Students (n= 655)					
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	17.3%	Down from 20.9%	12.4%	14.4%	
Retention rate	11.9%	Up from 6.1%	2.7%	2.3%	
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	94.3%	Down from 95.5%	95.0%	95.2%	
	7.3%	Down from 9.3%	12.5%	13.6%	
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	23.0%	Up from 22.6%	15.2%	14.1%	
	11.6%	Up from 8.5%	4.3%	4.9%	
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	Down from 1.9%	1.5%	1.3%	
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%	
Teachers (n= 42)					
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	50.0%	Up from 46.7%	45.1%	47.1%	
	78.6%	Up from 73.3%	82.5%	82.5%	
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	82.6%	Up from 79.6%	84.2%	84.3%	
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.8%	Down from 96.2%	95.0%	95.0%	
	\$37,392	Up 1.0%	\$38,924	\$39,924	
Prof. development days/teacher	15.7 days	Up from 14.0 days	10.7 days	10.7 days	
School					
Principal's years at school	16.0	Up from 15.0	3.0	3.0	
Student-teacher ratio	23.3 to 1	Up from 22.5 to 1	21.3 to 1	21.0 to 1	
Prime instructional time	89.3%	Down from 90.6%	88.6%	88.9%	
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,293	Up 52.4%	\$5,717	\$5,854	
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	55.2%	Down from 62.3%	62.0%	62.0%	
	Good	No change	Good	Good	
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	No change	96.0%	94.8%	
	yes	N/A	yes	yes	

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

		•		-
Ahhra	WISTIAN	c tor	Missina	I lata

					•			
N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Macedonia Middle School experienced a successful 2002-2003 school year through the active partnership of students, parents and faculty. We continued to advance our level of academic achievement and to cultivate strong community relationships. Our tradition of excellence was reaffirmed through numerous awards and accolades again this year. For example: Title I Distinguished School for the fifth consecutive year; some of the highest middle school PACT 2002 and 6th Grade Terra Nova scores in Berkeley County; MMS Business Partner, Jefferies Generating Station, augmented our Marine Science Project; students and faculty raised over \$4,637 for Relay For Life.

Maintaining and improving our excellent educational program continues to be Macedonia Middle School's primary focus. We strive to meet the needs of individual students through the expansion of numerous school initiatives. These initiatives include SOAR to Success, STEMS, Accelerated Reading and Math Program, an Accelerated Sixth Grade Class and Compass Learning.

Macedonia Middle School teachers continue to grow professionally with a large number of our teachers sharing innovative programs at a variety of workshops and conferences. Our staff had a total of 647 professional development days this year. In addition, one of our teachers received her National Board Certification.

Our A-Star after school tutoring program continued to provide additional academic assistance to over 105 students. Our PTA worked diligently to support teacher and department requests to ensure the success of our AWARDS Program. In addition, the PTA raised \$7,505.00 for the purchase of an additional sound system for our multipurpose room.

Our school wide emphasis for the 2003-2004 school year is on writing, assessment, and the expansion of technology. Faculty and staff will be involved with extensive staff development as we continue our tradition of "Excellence in Education."

Janie L. Langley, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.